

Riemannian Interior Point Methods for Constrained Optimization on Manifolds

Zhijian Lai¹, Akiko Yoshise¹

¹University of Tsukuba



Introduction

A **Riemannian manifold** M is a set that can be locally linearizable, with a smooth mapping $x \mapsto \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_x$, which is an inner product on the tangent spaces $T_{\chi}M$.



Figure 1: Unit sphere: $M = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : ||x||_2 = 1\}$ and $T_xM = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, v \rangle = 0\}$.

Preliminaries

Q1: How to move on manifolds? Retraction! A **retraction** R maps tangent vectors back to the manifold.

 $R_{\chi}: T_{\chi}M \to M$ for any χ .



Riemannian Euclidean

Hence, the adjoint operator is

 $H_x^*: T_x \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}^1, \quad H_x^* \xi = [\langle \operatorname{grad} h_1(x), \xi \rangle_x, \cdots, \langle \operatorname{grad} h_l(x), \xi \rangle_x]^T.$

The linear operator $\nabla F(w) : T_w \mathscr{M} \to T_w \mathscr{M}$ is given by

$$\nabla F(w)\Delta w = \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Hess}_{x} \mathcal{L}(w)\Delta x + \operatorname{H}_{x}\Delta y + \operatorname{G}_{x}\Delta z \\ \operatorname{H}_{x}^{*}\Delta x \\ \operatorname{G}_{x}^{*}\Delta x + \Delta s \\ Z\Delta s + S\Delta z \end{pmatrix}$$

where $\Delta w = (\Delta x, \Delta y, \Delta s, \Delta z) \in T_x M \times \mathbb{R}^1 \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^m \equiv T_w \mathcal{M}$.

Riemannian Interior Point Method (RIPM)

Basic RIPM Algorithm: Step 0. Initial w_0 with $(z_0, s_0) > 0$. Step 1. Solve

Riemannian Optimization

Riemannian Optimization: $\min_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathcal{M}} \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x})$ where $f: M \to \mathbb{R}$ and M is a Riemannian manifold.



Figure 2: Iteration on unit sphere.

40+ available manifolds in solver "Manopt"[1]

- Stiefel manifold, $St(n, k) = \{X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k} : X^{\top}X = I\}.$
- Fixed rank manifold, $\mathbb{R}_r^{m \times n} = \{X \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} : rk(X) = r\}.$

Riemannian version of classical methods: steepest decent, conjugate gradient, Newton, trust region, proximal, ADMM.

Advantages of Riemannian Optimization

- Exploit the geometric structure of the constrained set.
- ② Convergence properties of like optimization on Euclidean.
- **3** Transfer the constrained problem to the unconstrained one.

More Requirements in Applications

 $\mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{x}_k + \alpha_k \mathbf{d}_k | \mathbf{x}_{k+1} = \mathbf{R}_{\mathbf{x}_k} (\alpha_k \mathbf{d}_k)$

Q2: Where to move towards on manifolds? Riemannian **Gradient!**

For embedded submanifold M, Riemannian gradient of f : $\mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}$ is the orthogonal projection onto $T_x \mathcal{M}$ of the Euclidean gradient, grad $f(x) = \operatorname{Proj}_{x}(\operatorname{egrad} f(x))$.

Supplementary:

A **vector field** is a mapping F defined on M such that $F(x) \in F(x)$ T_xM for all $x \in M$. Riemannian gradient, $x \mapsto \operatorname{grad} f(x)$, is a vector field generated by scalar field $f: M \to \mathbb{R}$.



Figure 3: A vector field on a unit sphere. Source: Wikipedia.

Covariant derivative of a vector field F: **Riemannian connection** $\nabla F(x): T_x M \to T_x M$, linear operator. general vector field

Hess $f(\mathbf{x}) \triangleq \nabla \operatorname{grad} f(\mathbf{x})$ is called **Riemannian Hessian**.

 $\nabla F(w_k) \Delta w_k = -F(w_k) + \mu_k \hat{e},$

where $\hat{e} \triangleq (0_x, 0, 0, e)$.

Step 2. Compute the step sizes α_k such that $(z_{k+1}, s_{k+1}) > 0$. Step 3. Update:

$$w_{k+1} = \overline{R}_{w_k}(\alpha_k \Delta w_k).$$

Step 4. Let $\mu_k \rightarrow 0$. Return to Step 1.

Local Convergence: Under some standard assumptions. If $\mu_k = o(||F(w_k)||), \alpha_k \to 1$, then $\{w_k\}$ locally, superlinearly converges to w^* .

If $\mu_k = O(||F(w_k)||^2)$, $1 - \alpha_k = O(||F(w_k)||)$, then $\{w_k\}$ locally, quadratically converges to w^* .

Global Line Search RIPM Algorithm:

Merit function: Choose $\varphi(w) \triangleq ||F(w)||^2$. Backtracking for step size α_k : With a slight abuse of notation, we also let

$$\varphi(\alpha) \triangleq \varphi(\underbrace{\bar{R}_{w_k}(\alpha \Delta w_k)}_{\text{new iterate}}) \text{ for fixed } w_k \text{ and } \Delta w_k,$$

then $\varphi(0) = \varphi(w_k) =: \varphi_k$ and $\varphi'(0) = \langle \operatorname{grad} \varphi(w_k), \Delta w_k \rangle$. Sufficient decreasing condition asks

 $\varphi(\alpha_k) - \varphi(0) \leq \alpha_k \beta \varphi'(0).$

Descent direction: Let Δw_k be the solution of $\nabla F(w_k) \Delta w_k =$ $-F(w_k) + \rho_k \sigma_k \hat{e}$, then $\varphi'(0) < 0$ if we set $\rho_k := s_k^T z_k / m, \sigma_k \in \mathbb{R}$

• Nonnegative PCA on Stiefel manifold $\min_{\mathbf{X}\in \mathrm{St}(\mathbf{n},\mathbf{k})}-\mathrm{trace}(\mathbf{X}^{\top}\mathbf{A}^{\top}\mathbf{A}\mathbf{X})$ s.t. $X \ge 0$

• Nonnegative matrix completion on fixed rank manifold

$$\min_{X \in \mathbb{R}_{r}^{m \times n}} \sum_{(i,j) \in \Omega} (X_{ij} - A_{ij})^{2}$$

s.t. $X \ge 0$

→ Can we use the solver "Manopt" directly?

Some limitations of Riemannian optimization:

- Existing manifold solvers lack flexibility, and adding even one more constraint can make it impossible to use them directly. E.g., $x \in M, x \ge 0$.
- 2 Adding new constraints does not necessarily guarantee that the feasible set is still a manifold.
- **3** Even if a feasible set is proven to be a manifold, there are no available software packages to support it.
- \rightsquigarrow We aim to develop a new model to address these issues.

Riemannian Constrained Optimization Problem

Riemannian Constrained Optimization Problem: $\min_{\mathbf{x}\in\mathcal{M}} f(\mathbf{x})$ (RCOP) s.t. h(x) = 0, and $g(x) \le 0$,

Riemannian Newton method: To find singularity $x^* \in M$ such that $F(x^*) = 0_{x^*}$. (Step 1.) Solve a linear system on $T_{x_k}M \ni v_k$: $\nabla F(\mathbf{x}_k)\mathbf{v}_k = -F(\mathbf{x}_k),$

(Step 2.) $x_{k+1} = R_{x_k}(v_k)$. Return to Step 1.

Our proposal: Riemannian Interior Point Methods

KKT Vector Field

Lagrangian function of (RCOP) is

 $\mathcal{L}(\mathbf{x},\mathbf{y},z) \triangleq \mathbf{f}(\mathbf{x}) + \mathbf{y}^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{h}(\mathbf{x}) + z^{\mathsf{T}}\mathbf{g}(\mathbf{x}).$

- $x \mapsto \mathcal{L}(x, y, z)$ is a real-valued function on \mathcal{M} , then we have
- $\operatorname{grad}_{x} \mathcal{L}(x, y, z) = \operatorname{grad} f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{l} y_i \operatorname{grad} h_i(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} z_i \operatorname{grad} g_i(x),$
- Hess_x $\mathcal{L}(x, y, z)$ = Hess $f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{l} y_i$ Hess $h_i(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} z_i$ Hess $g_i(x)$.

Riemannian KKT conditions [2] are

 $\operatorname{grad}_{\mathsf{x}} \mathcal{L}(\mathsf{x},\mathsf{y},z) = \mathfrak{0}_{\mathsf{x}},$ h(x) = 0, $g(x) \leq 0$, $Zq(x) = 0, (Z := \text{diag}(z_1, \ldots, z_m))$ (0, 1). Then, $\{\varphi_k\}$ is monotonically decreasing.

Global Convergence: Under some standard assumptions. For any limit point $w^* = (x^*, y^*, z^*, s^*)$ of $\{w_k\}, x^*$ is a Riemannian KKT point of problem (RCOP).

Conclusion

Riemannian IPM (RIPM) vs. Euclidean IPM (EIPM)

- **1** RIPM inherits the advantages of Riemannian optimization and can exploit the geometric structure of the constraints.
- **2** EIPM is a special case of RIPM when $\mathbb{M} = \mathbb{R}^n$.
- **3** RIPM solves Newton equation of smaller order on $T_{\mathbf{x}}\mathbb{M}\times\mathbb{R}^{l}$:

$$\mathcal{T}(\Delta x, \Delta y) := \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_{w} \Delta x + \mathcal{H}_{x} \Delta y \\ \mathcal{H}_{x}^{*} \Delta x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c \\ q \end{pmatrix}.$$

 RIPM can solve some problems that EIPM cannot. E.g.,
rk(X) = r is not continuous, we can not apply EIPM.

Our contributions:

- Propose a Riemannian version of the interior point method.
- Prove the local superlinear/quadratic and global convergence.
- **3** Establish some foundational concepts, such as the KKT vector field and its covariant derivative.

where $f: \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}, h: \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}^{l}$, and $g: \mathcal{M} \to \mathbb{R}^{m}$.

Advantages of (RCOP):

- **1** Still using the geometric structure of *M*. The advantages of Riemannian optimization are maintained.
- **2** Very flexible, even if h, g cannot form a new manifold. **3** Based directly on an existing solver "Manopt". **Riemannian version of classical algorithms:**
- Augmented Lagrangian Method [2];
- Exact Penalty Method [2];
- Sequential Quadratic Programming Method [3].
- ~> In this talk, we consider Riemannian version of Interior Point Method.

 $z \ge 0.$ Using s := -g(x), the above becomes $F(w) \triangleq \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{grad}_{x} \mathcal{L}(x, y, z) \\ h(x) \\ g(x) + s \\ ZSe \end{pmatrix} = 0_{w} := \begin{pmatrix} 0_{x} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } (z, s) \ge 0,$

where $w := (x, y, z, s) \in \mathscr{M} \triangleq M \times \mathbb{R}^{l} \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{m}$. Note that $T_{w} \mathscr{M} \equiv T_{x} \mathcal{M} \times \mathbb{R}^{l} \times \mathbb{R}^{m} \times \mathbb{R}^{m}.$

Covariant Derivative of KKT Vector Field

For each $x \in M$, we define

$$H_x: \mathbb{R}^1 \to T_x M, \quad H_x \nu \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^t \nu_i \operatorname{grad} h_i(x).$$

Future work: The more sophisticated and robust global strategies are often based on the trust region or filter line-search method.

References

- [1] N. Boumal, B. Mishra, P.-A. Absil, and R. Sepulchre. Manopt, a Matlab toolbox for optimization on manifolds. Journal of Machine Learning Research, 15(42):1455–1459.
- [2] Changshuo Liu and Nicolas Boumal.
 - Simple algorithms for optimization on Riemannian manifolds with constraints.

Applied Mathematics & Optimization, 82(3):949–981, 2020.

[3] Mitsuaki Obara, Takayuki Okuno, and Akiko Takeda.

Sequential quadratic optimization for nonlinear optimization problems on riemannian manifolds.

SIAM Journal on Optimization, 32(2):822–853, 2022.

Summer School on Continuous Optimization and Related Fields. Institute of Statistical Mathematics, Tokyo, 9 August, 2023.