Riemannian Interior Point Methods for Constrained Optimization on Manifolds

*Zhijian Lai Akiko Yoshise

University of Tsukuba s2130117@s.tsukuba.ac.jp

May 21, 2023

Riemannian Interio Point Methods (RIPM)

Zhijian Lai, Akiko Yoshise

Introduction Background Preliminaries

Our proposal: Riemannian Interior Point Methods Formulation of RIPM Global Algorithms

Numerical Experiments

Overview

Introduction Background Preliminaries

Our proposal: Riemannian Interior Point Methods Formulation of RIPM Global Algorithms

3 Numerical Experiments

4 Concluding

Riemannian Interio Point Methods (RIPM)

Zhijian Lai, Akiko Yoshise

Introduction Background Preliminaries

Our proposal: Riemannian Interior Point Methods Formulation of RIPM Global Algorithms

Numerical Experiments

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

Introduction Background Preliminaries

Our proposal: Riemannian Interior Point Methods Formulation of RIPM Global Algorithms

3 Numerical Experiments

4 Concluding

Riemannian Interio Point Methods (RIPM)

Zhijian Lai, Akiko Yoshise

Introduction Background Preliminaries

Our proposal: Riemannian Interior Point Methods Formulation of RIPM Global Algorithms

Numerical Experiments

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

Introduction Background Prolimination

Preliminaries

Our proposal: Riemannian Interior Point Methods Formulation of RIPM Global Algorithms

3 Numerical Experiments

4 Concluding

Riemannian Interio Point Methods (RIPM)

Zhijian Lai, Akiko Yoshise

Introduction Background Preliminaries

Our proposal: Riemannian Interior Point Methods Formulation of RIPM Global Algorithms

Numerical Experiments

Riemannian Manifold

A **Riemannian manifold** *M* is a set that can be locally linearizable, with a smooth mapping $x \mapsto \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_x$, which is an inner product on the tangent spaces $T_x M$.



Figure: Unit sphere: $M = \{x \in \mathbb{R}^n : ||x||_2 = 1\}$ and $T_x M = \{v \in \mathbb{R}^n : \langle x, v \rangle = 0\}$.

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

Zhijian Lai, Akiko Yoshise

Introduction Background Preliminaries

Our proposal: Riemannian Interio Point Methods Formulation of RIPM Global Algorithms

Numerical Experiments

Riemannian Optimization



Given $f: M \to \mathbb{R}$, solve

 $\min_{x \in M} f(x)$

where M is a Riemannian manifold.



40+ available manifolds *M* in Riemannian solver "Manopt" [Boumal et al.,]:

- Stiefel manifold, $St(n,k) = \{X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k} : X^{\top}X = I\}.$
- Fixed rank manifold, $\mathbb{R}_r^{m \times n} = \{X \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} : \mathrm{rk}(X) = r\}.$

Riemannian version of classical methods. (2002-) steepest decent, conjugate gradient, trust region, BFGS, proximal gradient, ADMM and more.

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

Zhijian Lai, Akiko Yoshise

Introduction Background Preliminaries

R

Our proposal: Riemannian Interic Point Methods Formulation of RIPM Global Algorithms

Numerical Experiments

Riemannian Optimization



Given $f: M \to \mathbb{R}$, solve

 $\min_{x \in M} f(x)$

where M is a Riemannian manifold.

Figure: Iteration on unit sphere.

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

Zhijian Lai, Akiko Yoshise

Introduction Background Preliminaries

Our proposal: Riemannian Interic Point Methods Formulation of RIPM Global Algorithms

Numerical Experiments

Concluding

Advantages of Riemannian Optimization:

- 1 Exploit the geometric structure of the constrained set.
- 2 Convergence properties of like optimization on Euclidean space.
- **3** No need to consider Lagrange multipliers or penalty functions.

Applications

- PCA on Stiefel manifold, $St(n,k) = \{X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k} : X^{\top}X = I\}.$ $\min_{X \in St(n,k)} - \operatorname{trace}(X^{\top}A^{\top}AX).$
- Matrix completion on fixed rank manifold, $\mathbb{R}_r^{m \times n} = \{ X \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} : \operatorname{rk}(X) = r \}.$

$$\min_{X\in\mathbb{R}_r^{m\times n}}\sum_{(i,j)\in\Omega}(X_{ij}-A_{ij})^2.$$

Riemannian Interio Point Methods (RIPM)

Zhijian Lai, Akiko Yoshise

Introduction Background Preliminaries

Our proposal: Riemannian Interior Point Methods Formulation of RIPM Global Algorithms

Numerical Experiments

More Requirements in Applications

• Nonnegative PCA on Stiefel manifold, St $(n, k) = \{X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k} : X^{\top}X = I\}.$

$$\min_{\substack{X \in \operatorname{St}(n,k)}} - \operatorname{trace}(X^{\top}A^{\top}AX)$$

s.t. $X \ge 0$

• Nonnegative matrix completion on fixed rank manifold, $\mathbb{R}_r^{m \times n} = \{X \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} : \mathrm{rk}(X) = r\}.$

$$\min_{X \in \mathbb{R}_r^{m \times n}} \sum_{(i,j) \in \Omega} (X_{ij} - A_{ij})^2$$
s.t. $X \ge 0$

~ What should we do at this point?

emannian Interio Point Methods (RIPM)

Zhijian Lai, Akiko Yoshise

Introduction Background Preliminaries

Our proposal: Riemannian Interior Point Methods Formulation of RIPM Global Algorithms

Numerical Experiments

Some limitations of Riemannian optimization

Given $f: M \to \mathbb{R}$, solve

 $\min_{x \in M} f(x)$

where M is a Riemannian manifold.

Some limitations of Riemannian optimization are:

- Existing manifold solvers lack flexibility, and adding even one more constraint can make it impossible to use them directly. E.g., $x \in M, x \ge 0$.
- 2 Adding new constraints does not necessarily guarantee that the feasible set is still a manifold.
- \rightsquigarrow We are attempting to develop a new model to address these issues.

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

Zhijian Lai, Akiko Yoshise

Introduction Background Preliminaries

Our proposal: Riemannian Interior Point Methods Formulation of RIPM Global Algorithms

Numerical Experiments

New Topic — Riemannian Constrained Optimization Problem

We consider

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min_{x \in M} & f(x) \\ \text{s.t.} & h(x) = 0, \text{ and } g(x) \leq 0, \end{array}$$

where $f: M \to \mathbb{R}, h: M \to \mathbb{R}^l$, and $g: M \to \mathbb{R}^m$.

Advantages of (RCOP):

- Still using the geometric structure of M. The advantages of Riemannian optimization are maintained.
- **2** Very flexible, even if the constraints of h, g cannot form a new manifold.

Riemannian version of classical algorithms:

- Augmented Lagrangian Method [Liu and Boumal, 2020, Yamakawa and Sato, 2022];
- Exact Penalty Method [Liu and Boumal, 2020];
- Sequential Quadratic Programming Method [Schiela and Ortiz, 2020, Obara et al., 2022].
- ~> In this talk, we consider Riemannian version of Interior Point Method.

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

Zhijian Lai, Akiko Yoshise

Introduction Background Preliminaries

(RCOP)

Our proposal: Riemannian Interior Point Methods Formulation of RIPM Global Algorithms

Numerical Experiments

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

Introduction Background Preliminaries

Our proposal: Riemannian Interior Point Methods Formulation of RIPM Global Algorithms

3 Numerical Experiments

4 Concluding

Riemannian Interio Point Methods (RIPM)

Zhijian Lai, Akiko Yoshise

Introduction Background Preliminaries

Our proposal: Riemannian Interior Point Methods Formulation of RIPM Global Algorithms

Numerical Experiments

Q1: How to move on manifolds? Retraction!

A retraction *R* maps tangent vectors back to the manifold.

 $x_{k+1} = x_k + \alpha_k d_k$

 $R_x: T_x M \to M$ for any x.



 $x_{k+1} = R_{x_k}(\alpha_k d_k)$

Riemannian Interio Point Methods (RIPM)

Zhijian Lai, Akiko Yoshise

Introduction Background Preliminaries

Our proposal: Riemannian Interior Point Methods Formulation of RIPM Global Algorithms

Numerical Experiments

Q2: Where to move towards on manifolds? Riemannian Gradient! For an embedded submanifold M, Riemannian gradient of $f: M \to \mathbb{R}$ is the orthogonal projection onto T_xM of the Euclidean gradient,

 $\operatorname{grad} f(x) = \operatorname{Proj}_x(\operatorname{egrad} f(x)).$



Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

Zhijian Lai, Akiko Yoshise

Introduction Background Preliminaries

Our proposal: Riemannian Interic Point Methods Formulation of RIPM Global Algorithms

Numerical Experiments

Supplementary: Vector fields on manifolds

A vector field is a mapping *F* defined on *M* such that $F(x) \in T_x M$ for all $x \in M$. Riemannian gradient,

 $x \mapsto \operatorname{grad} f(x),$

is a vector field generated by scalar field $f: M \to \mathbb{R}$.



Figure: A vector field on a unit sphere. Source: Wikipedia.

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

Zhijian Lai, Akiko Yoshise

Introduction Background Preliminaries

Our proposal: Riemannian Interior Point Methods Formulation of RIPM Global Algorithms

Numerical Experiments

Covariant derivative & Hessian & Riemannian Newton method

Covariant derivative of a vector field F:

Riemannian connection \bigvee $\nabla F(x): T_x M \rightarrow T_x M$, linear operator. general vector field

Specially, $\text{Hess } f(x) \triangleq \nabla \operatorname{grad} f(x)$ is called **Riemannian Hessian**.

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

Zhijian Lai, Akiko Yoshise

Introduction Background Preliminaries

Our proposal: Riemannian Interior Point Methods Formulation of RIPM Global Algorithms

Numerical Experiments

Covariant derivative & Hessian & Riemannian Newton method

Covariant derivative of a vector field F:

Riemannian connection \bigvee $\nabla F(x): T_x M \rightarrow T_x M$, linear operator. general vector field

Specially, $\text{Hess} f(x) \triangleq \nabla \operatorname{grad} f(x)$ is called **Riemannian Hessian**.

Riemannian Newton method: To find singularity $x^* \in M$ such that $F(x^*) = 0_{x^*}$.

(Step 1.) Solve a linear system on $T_{x_k}M \ni v_k$:

$$\nabla F(x_k)v_k = -F(x_k),\tag{1}$$

(Step 2.) $x_{k+1} = R_{x_k}(v_k)$. Return to Step 1.

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

Zhijian Lai, Akiko Yoshise

Introduction Background Preliminaries

Our proposal: Riemannian Interior Point Methods Formulation of RIPM Global Algorithms

Experiments

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

1 Introduction

Background Preliminaries

2 Our proposal: Riemannian Interior Point Methods Formulation of RIPM Global Algorithms

3 Numerical Experiments

4 Concluding

Riemannian Interio Point Methods (RIPM)

Zhijian Lai, Akiko Yoshise

Introduction Background Preliminaries

Dur proposal: Riemannian Interior Point Methods Formulation of RIPM

Global Algorithms

Numerical Experiments

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

1 Introduction

Background Preliminaries

Our proposal: Riemannian Interior Point Methods Formulation of RIPM

Global Algorithms

3 Numerical Experiments

4 Concluding

Riemannian Interio Point Methods (RIPM)

Zhijian Lai, Akiko Yoshise

Introduction Background Preliminaries

Our proposal: Riemannian Interior Point Methods

Formulation of RIPM

Global Algorithms

Numerical Experiments

Formulation of RIPM

We consider

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min_{x \in \mathcal{M}} & f(x) \\ \text{s.t.} & h(x) = 0, \text{ and } g(x) \leq 0, \end{array}$$

where $f: M \to \mathbb{R}, h: M \to \mathbb{R}^l$, and $g: M \to \mathbb{R}^m$.

Lagrangian function is

$$\mathcal{L}(x, y, z) \triangleq f(x) + y^T h(x) + z^T g(x).$$

 $x \mapsto \mathcal{L}(x, y, z)$ is a real-valued function on M,

(RCOP)

(2)

Our proposal: Riemannian Interior Point Methods

Global Algorithms

Numerical Experiments

Concludi

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

Formulation of RIPM

We consider

$$\begin{array}{ll} \min_{x \in M} & f(x) \\ \text{s.t.} & h(x) = 0, \text{ and } g(x) \le 0, \end{array}$$

where $f: M \to \mathbb{R}, h: M \to \mathbb{R}^l$, and $g: M \to \mathbb{R}^m$.

Lagrangian function is

$$\mathcal{L}(x, y, z) \triangleq f(x) + y^T h(x) + z^T g(x).$$

 $x \mapsto \mathcal{L}(x, y, z)$ is a real-valued function on *M*, then we have

•
$$\operatorname{grad}_{x} \mathcal{L}(x, y, z) = \operatorname{grad} f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{l} y_{i} \operatorname{grad} h_{i}(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} z_{i} \operatorname{grad} g_{i}(x),$$

• Hess_x
$$\mathcal{L}(x, y, z)$$
 = Hess $f(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{l} y_i$ Hess $h_i(x) + \sum_{i=1}^{m} z_i$ Hess $g_i(x)$.

(RCOP)

(2)

Our proposal: Riemannian Interior Point Methods Formation of RIPM Global Algorithms

Numerical Experiments

Concluding

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

KKT Vector Field

Riemannian KKT conditions [Liu and Boumal, 2020] are

$$\begin{aligned} \operatorname{grad}_{x} \mathcal{L}(x, y, z) &= 0_{x}, \\ h(x) &= 0, \\ g(x) &\leq 0, \\ Zg(x) &= 0, (Z := \operatorname{diag}(z_{1}, \dots, z_{m})) \\ z &\geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

Zhijian Lai, Akiko Yoshise

Introduction Background Preliminaries

(3)

Our proposal: Riemannian Interior Point Methods

Global Algorithms

Numerical Experiments

KKT Vector Field

Riemannian KKT conditions [Liu and Boumal, 2020] are

$$egin{aligned} & \mathcal{L}(x,y,z) = 0_x, \ & h(x) = 0, \ & g(x) \leq 0, \ & Zg(x) = 0, (Z := ext{diag}\left(z_1,\ldots,z_m
ight)) \ & z \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

Definition (KKT Vector Field, L. 2022) Using s := -g(x), the above becomes

$$F(w) \triangleq \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{grad}_{x} \mathcal{L}(x, y, z) \\ h(x) \\ g(x) + s \\ ZSe \end{pmatrix} = 0_{w} := \begin{pmatrix} 0_{x} \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}, \text{ and } (z, s) \ge 0,$$
(4)

where $w := (x, y, z, s) \in \mathscr{M} \triangleq M \times \mathbb{R}^l \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^m$. Note that $T_w \mathscr{M} \equiv T_x M \times \mathbb{R}^l \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^m$.

Riemannian Interio Point Methods (RIPM)

Zhijian Lai, Akiko Yoshise

Introduction Background Preliminaries

(3)

Our proposal: Riemannian Interior Point Methods Formatione of PIPM Global Algorithms Numerical

sxperiments

Covariant Derivative of KKT Vector Field

For each $x \in M$, we define

$$H_x: \mathbb{R}^l \to T_x M, \quad H_x v \triangleq \sum_{i=1}^l v_i \operatorname{grad} h_i(x).$$

Hence, the adjoint operator is

$$H_x^*: T_x M \to \mathbb{R}^l, \quad H_x^* \xi = \left[\langle \operatorname{grad} h_1(x), \xi \rangle_x, \cdots, \langle \operatorname{grad} h_l(x), \xi \rangle_x
ight]^T.$$

Lemma (L. 2022)

The linear operator $\nabla F(w) : T_w \mathscr{M} \to T_w \mathscr{M}$ is given by

$$\nabla F(w)\Delta w = \begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Hess}_{x} \mathcal{L}(w)\Delta x + H_{x}\Delta y + G_{x}\Delta z \\ H_{x}^{*}\Delta x \\ G_{x}^{*}\Delta x + \Delta s \\ Z\Delta s + S\Delta z \end{pmatrix},$$
(7)

where $\Delta w = (\Delta x, \Delta y, \Delta s, \Delta z) \in T_x M \times \mathbb{R}^l \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^m \equiv T_w \mathscr{M}$.

Riemannian Interio Point Methods (RIPM)

Zhijian Lai, Akiko Yoshise

Introduction Background Preliminaries

Our proposal: Riemannian Interior Point Methods Formulation of RIPM

Numerical Experiments

Concluding

(5)

(6)

Riemannian Interior Point Method (RIPM)

Step 0. Initial w_0 with $(z_0, s_0) > 0$. Step 1. Solve

$$\nabla F(w_k)\Delta w_k = -F(w_k) + \frac{\mu_k \hat{e}}{\theta},$$

where $\hat{e} \triangleq (0_x, 0, 0, e)$. Step 2. Compute the step sizes α_k such that $(z_{k+1}, s_{k+1}) > 0$. Step 3. Update:

$$w_{k+1} = \bar{R}_{w_k}(\alpha_k \Delta w_k).$$

Step 4. Let $\mu_k \to 0$. Return to 1.

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

Zhijian Lai, Akiko Yoshise

Intro

(8)

(9)

Background Preliminaries

Our proposal: Riemannian Interior Point Methods

Form

Numerical Experiments

Riemannian Interior Point Method (RIPM)

Step 0. Initial w_0 with $(z_0, s_0) > 0$. Step 1. Solve

$$\nabla F(w_k)\Delta w_k = -F(w_k) + \frac{\mu_k \hat{e}}{\ell},$$

where $\hat{e} \triangleq (0_x, 0, 0, e)$. Step 2. Compute the step sizes α_k such that $(z_{k+1}, s_{k+1}) > 0$. Step 3. Update:

$$w_{k+1} = \bar{R}_{w_k}(\alpha_k \Delta w_k).$$

Step 4. Let $\mu_k \to 0$. Return to 1.

Theorem (Local Convergence, L. 2022)

Under some standard assumptions.

1 If $\mu_k = o(||F(w_k)||), \alpha_k \to 1$, then $\{w_k\}$ locally, superlinearly converges to w^* .

2 If $\mu_k = O(||F(w_k)||^2)$, $1 - \alpha_k = O(||F(w_k)||)$, then $\{w_k\}$ locally, quadratically converges to w^* .

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

Zhijian Lai, Akiko Yoshise

Introduc Background

(8)

(9)

Our proposal: Riemannian Interior Point Methods

Formulatia Clobal Al

> Numerical Experiments

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

1 Introduction

Background Preliminaries

2 Our proposal: Riemannian Interior Point Methods Formulation of RIPM Global Algorithms

3 Numerical Experiments

4 Concluding

Riemannian Interio Point Methods (RIPM)

Zhijian Lai, Akiko Yoshise

Introduction Background Preliminaries

Our proposal: Riemannian Interior Point Methods Formulation of RIPM

Numerical Experiments

Global Line Search RIPM Algorithm

Merit function: Choose $\varphi(w) \triangleq ||F(w)||^2$. Backtracking for step size α_k :

- 1 Centrality conditions.
- **2** Sufficient decreasing condition.

With a slight abuse of notation, we also let

$$\varphi(\alpha) \triangleq \varphi(\underbrace{\bar{R}_{w_k}(\alpha \Delta w_k)}_{\text{new iterate}}) \text{ for fixed } w_k \text{ and } \Delta w_k,$$

then $\varphi(0) = \varphi(w_k) =: \varphi_k$ and $\varphi'(0) = \langle \operatorname{grad} \varphi(w_k), \Delta w_k \rangle$. Sufficient decreasing asks

$$\varphi(\alpha_k) - \varphi(0) \le \alpha_k \beta \varphi'(0).$$

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

Zhijian Lai, Akiko Yoshise

Introduction Background Preliminaries

Our proposal: Riemannian Interior Point Methods Formulation of RIPM Global Algorithms

Numerical Experiments Concluding

(10)

Global Line Search RIPM Algorithm

Merit function: Choose $\varphi(w) \triangleq ||F(w)||^2$. Backtracking for step size α_k :

- 1 Centrality conditions.
- **2** Sufficient decreasing condition.

With a slight abuse of notation, we also let

$$\varphi(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) \triangleq \varphi(\underbrace{\bar{R}_{w_k}(\boldsymbol{\alpha} \Delta w_k)}_{\text{new iterate}}) \text{ for fixed } w_k \text{ and } \Delta w_k,$$

then $\varphi(0) = \varphi(w_k) =: \varphi_k$ and $\varphi'(0) = \langle \operatorname{grad} \varphi(w_k), \Delta w_k \rangle$. Sufficient decreasing asks

 $\varphi(\alpha_k) - \varphi(0) \le \alpha_k \beta \varphi'(0).$

Descent direction: Let Δw_k be the solution of $\nabla F(w_k)\Delta w_k = -F(w_k) + \rho_k \sigma_k \hat{e}$, then $\varphi'(0) < 0$ if we set $\rho_k := s_k^T z_k / m, \sigma_k \in (0, 1)$. Then, $\{\varphi_k\}$ is monotonically decreasing.

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

Zhijian Lai, Akiko Yoshise

Introduction Background Preliminaries

Our proposal: Riemannian Interior Point Methods Formulation of RIPM Global Algorithms

Numerical Experiments Concluding

(10)

Global Convergence

Assumptions:

- the functions f(x), h(x), g(x) are smooth; the set $\{\operatorname{grad} h_i(x)\}_{i=1}^l$ is linearly independent in $T_x M$ for all x; and $w \mapsto \nabla F(w)$ is Lipschitz continuous;
- 2 the sequences $\{x_k\}$ and $\{z_k\}$ are bounded;
- **3** the operator $\nabla F(w)$ is nonsingular.

Theorem (Global Convergence, L. 2022)

Let $\{\sigma_k\} \subset (0,1)$ bounded away from zero and one. If Assumptions $1 \sim 3$ hold, then $\{F(w_k)\}$ converges to zero; and for any limit point $w^* = (x^*, y^*, z^*, s^*)$ of $\{w_k\}, x^*$ is a Riemannian KKT point of problem (RCOP).

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

Zhijian Lai, Akiko Yoshise

Introduction Background Preliminaries

Our proposal: Riemannian Interio Point Methods Formulation of RIPM Global Algorithms

Numerical Experiments

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

Introduction

Background Preliminaries

Our proposal: Riemannian Interior Point Methods Formulation of RIPM Global Algorithms

3 Numerical Experiments

4 Concluding

Riemannian Interio Point Methods (RIPM)

Chijian Lai, Akiko Yoshise

Introduction Background Preliminaries

Our proposal: Riemannian Interior Point Methods Formulation of RIPM Global Algorithms

Numerical Experiments

Numerical Experiments

We compare with the other Riemannian methods:1

- RALM : Riemannian augmented Lagrangian method.
- REPM_lqh : Riemannian exact penalty method with smoothing function LQH.
- REPM_lse : Riemannian exact penalty method with smoothing function LSE.
- RSQP : Riemannian sequential quadratic programming.
- RIPM (Our method): Riemannian interior point method.

KKT residual is defined by

$$\sqrt{\|\text{grad}_{x} \mathcal{L}(w)\|^{2} + \sum_{i=1}^{m} \{\min(0, z_{i})^{2} + \max(0, g_{i}(x))^{2} + |z_{i}g_{i}(x)|^{2}\} + \sum_{i=1}^{l} |h_{i}(x)|^{2} + \text{Manvio}(x)}$$

where Manvio measures the violation of manifold constraints.

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

Zhijian Lai, Akiko Yoshise

Introduction Background Preliminaries

Our proposal: Riemannian Interior Point Methods Formulation of RIPM Global Algorithms

Numerical Experiments

¹The numerical experiments were performed in Matlab R2022a on a computer equipped with an Intel Core i7-10700 at 2.90GHz with 16GB of RAM.

Problem I — Nonnegative Low Rank Matrix Approximation (NLRM)

Problem I [Song and Ng, 2020] proposed

$$\min_{X\in\mathbb{R}^{m imes n}_r} \|A-X\|_F^2 \quad ext{ s.t. } X\geq 0,$$

where
$$\mathbb{R}_r^{m \times n} = \{X \in \mathbb{R}^{m \times n} : \operatorname{rk}(X) = r\}$$
.

Data setting: B = rand(m, r); C = rand(r, n); A = B*C+sigma*randn(m,n);



Figure: m = 10, n = 8, r = 3 and $\sigma = 0.01$.

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

Zhijian Lai, Akiko Yoshise

Introduction Background Preliminaries

Our proposal: Riemannian Interio Point Methods Formulation of RIPM Global Algorithms Numerical

Experiments

Problem II — Projection onto nonnegative Stiefel manifold

Problem II[Jiang et al., 2022] Given $C \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$, we consider

$$\min_{X \in \operatorname{St}(n,k)} \|X - C\|_F^2, \quad \text{s.t. } X \ge 0,$$
 (Model_Stiefel)

which can be equivalently reformulated into

$$\min_{X \in OB(n,k)} \|X - C\|_F^2 \quad \text{s.t. } X \ge 0, \text{ and } \|XV\|_F = 1.$$
 (Model_Oblique)

Here,

- Stiefel manifold, $\operatorname{St}(n,k) \triangleq \{X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k} : X^{\top}X = I\}.$
- Oblique manifold, $OB(n,k) \triangleq \{X \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times k} : \text{ all columns have unit norm}\}.$
- *V* is an arbitrary constant matrix satisfying $||V||_F = 1$ and $VV^{\top} > 0$ (irrelevant to *X*, *C*).

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

Zhijian Lai, Akiko Yoshise

Introduction Background Preliminaries

Our proposal: Riemannian Interior Point Methods Formulation of RIPM Global Algorithms

Numerical Experiments

Problem II — Projection onto nonnegative Stiefel manifold

- For each Model, we conducted 20 random trials.
- Each experiment terminated successfully if solution with KKT residual $< 10^{-6}$ was found.
- It failed if the maximum iteration 10,000 or maximum time 600 [s] was reached.²

(n,k)	(60,12)			(70,14)		
	Rate	Time [s]	Iter.	Rate	Time [s]	Iter.
RALM	1	4.097	34	1	6.234	37
REPM_lqh	0	-	-	0	-	-
REPM_lse	0	-	-	0	-	-
RSQP	0.65	78.02	7	0.85	166.1	7
RIPM	1	5.555	32	1	7.574	33

Table: Results on (Model_Stiefel)

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

Zhijian Lai, Akiko Yoshise

Introduction Background Preliminaries

Our proposal: Riemannian Interio Point Methods Formulation of RIPM Global Algorithms

Numerical Experiments

²The success rate (Rate) over the total number of trials, the average time in seconds (Time [s]) and the average iteration number (Iter.) among the successful trials.

Problem II — Projection onto nonnegative Stiefel manifold

- For each Model, we conducted 20 random trials.
- Each experiment terminated successfully if solution with KKT residual < 10⁻⁶ was found.
- It failed if the maximum iteration 10,000 or maximum time 600 [s] was reached.³

(n,k)	(60,12)			(70,14)		
	Rate	Time [s]	Iter.	Rate	Time [s]	Iter.
RALM	0.6	5.725	49	0.6	8.223	52
REPM_lqh	0	-	-	0	-	-
REPM_lse	0	-	-	0	-	-
RSQP	0.7	44.46	5	0.5	91.38	5
RIPM	1	7.134	23	1	9.268	24

Table: Results on (Model_Oblique)

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

Zhijian Lai, Akiko Yoshise

Introduction Background Preliminaries

Our proposal: Riemannian Interio Point Methods Formulation of RIPM Global Algorithms

Numerical Experiments

³The success rate (Rate) over the total number of trials, the average time in seconds (Time [s]) and the average iteration number (Iter.) among the successful trials.

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

Introduction

Background Preliminaries

Our proposal: Riemannian Interior Point Methods Formulation of RIPM Global Algorithms

3 Numerical Experiments

4 Concluding

Riemannian Interio Point Methods (RIPM)

Zhijian Lai, Akiko Yoshise

Introduction Background Preliminaries

Our proposal: Riemannian Interior Point Methods Formulation of RIPM Global Algorithms

Numerical Experiments

Riemannian Constrained Optimization Problem

 n_x

$$\underset{\in \mathbb{M}}{\min} \quad f(x) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad h(x) = 0, \text{ and } g(x) \le 0,$$

where \mathbb{M} is a Riemannian manifold, $f: \mathbb{M} \to \mathbb{R}, h: \mathbb{M} \to \mathbb{R}^l$, and $g: \mathbb{M} \to \mathbb{R}^m$.

Riemannian IPM (RIPM) vs. Euclidean IPM (EIPM)

1 RIPM inherits the advantages of Riemannian optimization and can exploit the geometric structure of the constraints.

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

Zhijian Lai, Akiko Yoshise

Introduction Background Preliminaries

(11)

Our proposal: Riemannian Interior Point Methods Formulation of RIPM Global Algorithms

Numerical Experiments

Riemannian Constrained Optimization Problem

 n_x

$$\underset{\in \mathbb{M}}{\min} \quad f(x) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad h(x) = 0, \text{ and } g(x) \le 0,$$

where \mathbb{M} is a Riemannian manifold, $f: \mathbb{M} \to \mathbb{R}, h: \mathbb{M} \to \mathbb{R}^l$, and $g: \mathbb{M} \to \mathbb{R}^m$.

Riemannian IPM (RIPM) vs. Euclidean IPM (EIPM)

- RIPM inherits the advantages of Riemannian optimization and can exploit the geometric structure of the constraints.
- **2** EIPM is a special case of RIPM when $\mathbb{M} = \mathbb{R}^n$ or $\mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$.

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

Zhijian Lai, Akiko Yoshise

Introduction Background Preliminaries

(11)

Our proposal: Riemannian Interior Point Methods Formulation of RIPM Global Algorithms

Numerical Experiments

Riemannian Constrained Optimization Problem

 n_x

$$\underset{\in \mathbb{M}}{\min} \quad f(x) \\ \text{s.t.} \quad h(x) = 0, \text{ and } g(x) \le 0,$$

where \mathbb{M} is a Riemannian manifold, $f: \mathbb{M} \to \mathbb{R}, h: \mathbb{M} \to \mathbb{R}^l$, and $g: \mathbb{M} \to \mathbb{R}^m$.

Riemannian IPM (RIPM) vs. Euclidean IPM (EIPM)

- RIPM inherits the advantages of Riemannian optimization and can exploit the geometric structure of the constraints.
- 2 EIPM is a special case of RIPM when $\mathbb{M} = \mathbb{R}^n$ or $\mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$.
- **3** RIPM solves Newton equation (13) of smaller order on $T_x \mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{R}^l$:

$$\mathcal{T}(\Delta x, \Delta y) := \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_w \Delta x + H_x \Delta y \\ H_x^* \Delta x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c \\ q \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (12)

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

Zhijian Lai, Akiko Yoshise

Introduction Background Preliminaries

(11)

Our proposal: Riemannian Interio Point Methods Formulation of RIPM Global Algorithms

Numerical Experiments

Riemannian Constrained Optimization Problem

n

$$\underset{\in \mathbb{M}}{\min} \quad f(x)$$
s.t. $h(x) = 0$, and $g(x) \le 0$,

where \mathbb{M} is a Riemannian manifold, $f: \mathbb{M} \to \mathbb{R}, h: \mathbb{M} \to \mathbb{R}^l$, and $g: \mathbb{M} \to \mathbb{R}^m$.

Riemannian IPM (RIPM) vs. Euclidean IPM (EIPM)

- RIPM inherits the advantages of Riemannian optimization and can exploit the geometric structure of the constraints.
- 2 EIPM is a special case of RIPM when $\mathbb{M} = \mathbb{R}^n$ or $\mathbb{R}^{n \times k}$.
- **3** RIPM solves Newton equation (13) of smaller order on $T_x \mathbb{M} \times \mathbb{R}^l$:

$$\mathcal{T}(\Delta x, \Delta y) := \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_w \Delta x + H_x \Delta y \\ H_x^* \Delta x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c \\ q \end{pmatrix}.$$
 (12)

4 RIPM can solve some problems that EIPM cannot. For example, rk(X) = r is not continuous, we can not apply EIPM.

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

Zhijian Lai, Akiko Yoshise

Introduction Background Preliminaries

(11)

Our proposal: Riemannian Interio Point Methods Formulation of RIPM Global Algorithms

Numerical Experiments

Concluding remarks

Riemannian Constrained Optimization Problem

We consider

$$\min_{\substack{x \in M} \\ \text{s.t.}} \quad f(x) \\ f(x) = 0, \text{ and } g(x) \le 0,$$
 (RCOP)

where *M* is a Riemannian manifold, $f: M \to \mathbb{R}, h: M \to \mathbb{R}^l$, and $g: M \to \mathbb{R}^m$.

Our contributions:

- We proposed a Riemannian version of the interior point method.
- 2 We proved the local superlinear/quadratic and global convergence.

Future work:

• The more sophisticated and robust global strategies are often based on the trust region or filter line-search method.

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

Zhijian Lai, Akiko Yoshise

Introduction Background Preliminaries

Our proposal: Riemannian Interior Point Methods Formulation of RIPM Global Algorithms

Numerical Experiments

Reference I

[Boumal et al.,] Boumal, N., Mishra, B., Absil, P.-A., and Sepulchre, R. Manopt, a Matlab toolbox for optimization on manifolds. *Journal of Machine Learning Research*, 15(42):1455–1459.

[Do Carmo and Flaherty Francis, 1992] Do Carmo, M. P. and Flaherty Francis, J. (1992). *Riemannian geometry*, volume 6. Springer.

[El-Bakry et al., 1996] El-Bakry, A., Tapia, R. A., Tsuchiya, T., and Zhang, Y. (1996). On the formulation and theory of the Newton interior-point method for nonlinear programming. *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, 89(3):507–541.

[Fernandes et al., 2017] Fernandes, T. A., Ferreira, O. P., and Yuan, J. (2017). On the superlinear convergence of Newton's method on Riemannian manifolds. *Journal of Optimization Theory and Applications*, 173(3):828–843.

[Ferreira and Silva, 2012] Ferreira, O. P. and Silva, R. C. (2012). Local convergence of Newton's method under a majorant condition in Riemannian manifolds. *IMA Journal of Numerical Analysis*, 32(4):1696–1713.

[Forsgren et al., 2002] Forsgren, A., Gill, P. E., and Wright, M. H. (2002). Interior methods for nonlinear optimization. *SIAM Review*, 44(4):525–597. Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

Zhijian Lai, Akiko Yoshise

Introduction Background Preliminaries

Our proposal: Riemannian Interior Point Methods Formulation of RIPM Global Algorithms

Numerical Experiments

Reference II

[Jiang et al., 2022] Jiang, B., Meng, X., Wen, Z., and Chen, X. (2022). An exact penalty approach for optimization with nonnegative orthogonality constraints. *Mathematical Programming*, pages 1–43.

[Liu and Boumal, 2020] Liu, C. and Boumal, N. (2020). Simple algorithms for optimization on Riemannian manifolds with constraints. *Applied Mathematics & Optimization*, 82(3):949–981.

[Obara et al., 2022] Obara, M., Okuno, T., and Takeda, A. (2022). Sequential quadratic optimization for nonlinear optimization problems on riemannian manifolds. *SIAM Journal on Optimization*, 32(2):822–853.

[Schiela and Ortiz, 2020] Schiela, A. and Ortiz, J. (2020). An SQP method for equality constrained optimization on manifolds. arXiv preprint arXiv:2005.06844.

[Song and Ng, 2020] Song, G.-J. and Ng, M. K. (2020).

Nonnegative low rank matrix approximation for nonnegative matrices. *Applied Mathematics Letters*, 105:106300.

[Yamakawa and Sato, 2022] Yamakawa, Y. and Sato, H. (2022).

Sequential optimality conditions for nonlinear optimization on Riemannian manifolds and a globally convergent augmented lagrangian method.

Computational Optimization and Applications, pages 1-25.

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

Zhijian Lai, Akiko Yoshise

Introduction Background Preliminaries

Our proposal: Riemannian Interior Point Methods Formulation of RIPM Global Algorithms

Numerical Experiments

Reference III

[Yamashita and Yabe, 1996] Yamashita, H. and Yabe, H. (1996).

Superlinear and quadratic convergence of some primal-dual interior point methods for constrained optimization. *Mathematical Programming*, 75(3):377–397.

Riemannian Interio Point Methods (RIPM)

Zhijian Lai, Akiko Yoshise

Introduction Background Preliminaries

Our proposal: Riemannian Interior Point Methods Formulation of RIPM Global Algorithms

Numerical Experiments

The End

Questions? Comments?

Riemannian Interio Point Methods (RIPM)

Zhijian Lai, Akiko Yoshise

Introduction Background Preliminaries

Our proposal: Riemannian Interior Point Methods Formulation of RIPM Global Algorithms

Numerical Experiments

Riemannian Interio Point Methods (RIPM)

Zhijian Lai, Akiko Yoshise

Appendix.

RIPM can solve a condensed equation (13) of smaller order.

$$\mathcal{T}(\Delta x, \Delta y) := \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_w \Delta x + H_x \Delta y \\ H_x^* \Delta x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c \\ q \end{pmatrix}, \tag{13}$$

For example, the Stiefel manifold can be used as the equality constraints; i.e., we set $h: M \equiv \mathbb{R}^{n \times k} \to \text{Sym}(k)$, where $h(X) = X^{\top}X - I_k$. Here, EIPM requires us to solve (13) of order nk + k(k+1)/2.

But RIPM only requires us to solve a problem of order nk - k(k+1)/2, i.e., the dimension of St(n, k).

Riemannian Newton method

Riemannian Newton method: Consider

F(x) = 0.

Solve a linear system on $T_{x_k}M \ni v_k$:

$$\nabla F(x_k)v_k = -F(x_k),$$

then $x_{k+1} = R_{x_k}(v_k)$.

Standard Newton assumptions & Local Convergence Results:

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{(N1)There exists } x^* : F(x^*) = 0. \\ \text{(N2)} \nabla F(x^*) \text{ is nonsingular operator.} \\ \text{(N3)} \nabla F \text{ is locally Lipschitz cont. at } x^*. \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \text{superlinear}[\text{Fernandes et al., 2017}] \\ \right\} \Rightarrow \text{quadratic}[\text{Ferreira and Silva, 2012}].$

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

Zhijian Lai, Akiko Yoshise

(14)

Riemannian Interior Point Methods

Superlinear and Quadratic Convergence

- **1** Existence. There exists w^* satisfying the KKT conditions.
- **2** Smoothness. The functions f, g, h are smooth on M.
- **3** Regularity. The set $\{\operatorname{grad} h_i(x^*) : i = 1, \dots, l\} \cup \{\operatorname{grad} g_i(x^*) : i \in \mathcal{A}(x)\}$ is linearly independent in $T_{x^*}M$.
- 4 Strict Complementarity. $(z^*)_i > 0$ if $g_i(x^*) = 0$ for all $i = 1, \dots, m$.
- **Second-Order Sufficiency.** $\langle \text{Hess}_x \mathcal{L}(w^*)\xi, \xi \rangle > 0$ for all nonzero $\xi \in T_{x^*}M$ satisfying $\langle \xi, \text{grad } h_i(x^*) \rangle = 0$ for $i = 1, \dots, l$, and $\langle \xi, \text{grad } g_i(x^*) \rangle = 0$ for $i \in \mathcal{A}(x^*)$.

Proposition (L. 2022)

If assumptions (1)-(5) hold, then standard Newton assumptions (N1)-(N3) hold for KKT vector field F.

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

Riemannian Interior Point Methods

Superlinear and Quadratic Convergence

On the other hand, to keep $(s_k, z_k) \ge 0$:

• Introducing the **perturbed** complementary equation,

$$Z\Delta s + S\Delta z = -ZSe + \mu e, \tag{15}$$

so that we are able to keep the iterates far from the boundary.

• Compute the **damped** step sizes α_k , e.g., choose $\gamma_k \in (0, 1)$ and compute

$$\boldsymbol{\alpha_k} := \min\left\{1, \boldsymbol{\gamma_k}\min_i\left\{-\frac{(s_k)_i}{(\Delta s_k)_i} \mid (\Delta s_k)_i < 0\right\}, \boldsymbol{\gamma_k}\min_i\left\{-\frac{(z_k)_i}{(\Delta z_k)_i} \mid (\Delta z_k)_i < 0\right\}\right\}, \quad (16)$$

such that $(s_{k+1}, z_{k+1}) > 0$.

The relation of α_k and γ_k : [Yamashita and Yabe, 1996]

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

History of Euclidean Interior Point Method

Interior Point (IP) Method for NONLINEAR, NONCONVEX (1990-)

Early phase (1990-1995)

- Local algorithms with superlinear/ quadratic convergence [El-Bakry et al., 1996, Yamashita and Yabe, 1996].
- Global algorithms [El-Bakry et al., 1996]

Variations (1995-2010)

- Inexact Newton/ Quasi Newton IP Method
- Global strategy: *many* merit functions; linear search, or trust region, etc.

Update by Retraction

At a current point w = (x, y, z, s) and direction $\Delta w = (\Delta x, \Delta y, \Delta z, \Delta s)$, the next iterate is calculated along a curve on \mathcal{M} , i.e.,

$$w(\alpha) := \bar{R}_w(\alpha \Delta w), \tag{17}$$

for some step length $\alpha > 0$.

By introducing

$$w(\alpha) = (x(\alpha), y(\alpha), z(\alpha), s(\alpha)), \tag{18}$$

we have

 $x(\alpha) = R_x(\alpha \Delta x),$

and $y(\alpha) = y + \alpha \Delta y, z(\alpha) = z + \alpha \Delta z, s(\alpha) = s + \alpha \Delta s.$

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

Centrality conditions

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

Chijian Lai, Akiko Yoshise

Given $w_0 = (x_0, y_0, z_0, s_0)$ with $(z_0, s_0) > 0$, let $\tau_1 := \frac{\min(Z_0 S_0 e)}{z_0^T s_0/m}$, $\tau_2 := \frac{z_0^T s_0}{\|F(w_0)\|}$. Let $\gamma \in (0, 1)$ be a constant. Define centrality functions:

$$f^{I}(\alpha) := \min(Z(\alpha)S(\alpha)e) - \gamma\tau_1 \frac{z(\alpha)^T s(\alpha)}{m},$$
(19)

$$f^{II}(\alpha) := z(\alpha)^T s(\alpha) - \gamma \tau_2 \|F(w(\alpha))\|.$$
⁽²⁰⁾

For i = I, II, let

$$\alpha^{i} := \max_{\alpha \in (0,1]} \left\{ \alpha : \mathbf{f}^{i}(t) \ge 0, \text{ for all } t \in (0,\alpha] \right\}.$$

$$(21)$$

Global RIP Algorithm

() Choose $\sigma_k \in (0, 1)$; for w_k , compute the perturbed Newton direction Δw_k with

$$\mu_k = z_k^T s_k / m \tag{22}$$

and by

$$\nabla F(w)\Delta w = -F(w) + \sigma_k \mu_k \hat{e}.$$
(23)

- **2** Step length selection.
 - 1 Centrality conditions: Choose $1/2 < \gamma_k < \gamma_{k-1} < 1$; compute $\alpha^i, i = I, II$, from (21); and let

$$\bar{\alpha}_k = \min(\alpha^I, \alpha^{II}). \tag{24}$$

2 Sufficient decreasing: Choose $\theta \in (0, 1)$, and $\beta \in (0, 1/2]$. Let $\alpha_k = \theta^t \bar{\alpha}_k$, where *t* is the smallest nonnegative integer such that α_k satisfies

$$\varphi(\bar{R}_{w_k}(\alpha_k \Delta w_k)) - \varphi(w_k) \le \alpha_k \beta \langle \operatorname{grad} \varphi_k, \Delta w_k \rangle.$$
(25)

3 Let $w_{k+1} = \overline{R}_{w_k}(\alpha_k \Delta w_k)$ and $k \leftarrow k+1$.

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

Auxiliary Results I: Boundedness of the sequences Given $\epsilon \ge 0$, let us define the set

$$\Omega(\epsilon) := \left\{ w \in \mathscr{M} : \epsilon \leq \varphi(w) \leq \varphi_0, \min(ZSe) / (z^T s / m) \geq \tau_1 / 2, z^T s / \|F(w)\| \geq \tau_2 / 2 \right\}$$

Lemma (Boundedness of the sequences I, L. 2022)

- If $\epsilon > 0$ and $w_k \in \Omega(\epsilon)$ for all k, then
 - **1** the sequence $\{z_k^T s_k\}$ and $\{(z_k)_i(s_k)_i\}$, i = 1, 2, ..., m, are all bounded above and below away from zero.
 - **2** the sequence $\{z_k\}$ and $\{s_k\}$ are bounded above and component-wise bounded away from zero;
 - **3** the sequence $\{w_k\}$ is bounded;
 - 4 the sequence $\{\|\nabla F(w_k)^{-1}\|\}$ is bounded;
 - **5** the sequence $\{\Delta w_k\}$ is bounded.

Lemma (Boundedness of the sequences II, L. 2022)

If $\{\sigma_k\}$ is bounded away from zero. Then, $\{\bar{\alpha}_k\}$ is bounded away from zero.

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

Auxiliary Results II: Continuity of Some Special Scalar Fields Lemma (L. 2022)

Let $x \in M$ and A_x be a linear operator on T_xM . Then, the values $\|\widehat{A}_x\|_2$ and $\|\widehat{A}_x\|_F$ are invariant under a change of orthonormal basis; moreover,

$$||A_x|| = ||\hat{A}_x||_2 \le ||\hat{A}_x||_F.$$
(26)

Lemma (L. 2022)

$$x \mapsto \|\widehat{\operatorname{Hess} f(x)}\| \tag{27}$$

is a continuous scalar field on M. It is true for all h_i , g_i .

$$x \mapsto ||H_x|| \text{ and } x \mapsto ||G_x||$$

are continuous scalar field on M.

(28)

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

Global Convergence Theorem

This theorem, now, is only proved under exponential map exp.

Lemma (Gauss [Do Carmo and Flaherty Francis, 1992, Lemma 3.5]) Let $p \in M$ and let $v \in T_pM$ such that $\exp_p(v)$ is well defined. Let $w \in T_pM \approx T_v(T_pM)$. Then

 $\langle \mathcal{D} \exp_p(v)[v], \mathcal{D} \exp_p(v)[w] \rangle = \langle v, w \rangle.$ (29)

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

Conjugate Gradients (CG) on a tangent space

Input: positive definite map H on $T_x \mathcal{M}$ and $b \in T_x \mathcal{M}$, $b \neq 0$ Set $v_0 = 0, r_0 = b, p_0 = r_0$ **For** n = 1, 2, ...Compute Hp_{n-1} (this is the only call to H) $\alpha_n = \frac{\|r_{n-1}\|_x^2}{(p_{n-1}, Hp_{n-1})_x}$ $v_n = v_{n-1} + \alpha_n p_{n-1}$ $r_n = r_{n-1} - \alpha_n Hp_{n-1}$ **If** $r_n = 0$, **output** $s = v_n$: the solution of Hs = b $\beta_n = \frac{\|r_n\|_x^2}{\|r_{n-1}\|_x^2}$ $p_n = r_n + \beta_n p_{n-1}$

1 Exactly the same in form of usual CG.

2 Every vectors v_n, r_n, p_n belong to tangent space $V \equiv T_x M$.

3 Converges very fast if *H* is PD with small condition number.

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

An Intuitive Barrier Method on Manifolds

Consider

$$\min_{x \in M} f(x) \quad \text{s.t.} \quad c(x) \ge 0. \tag{RCOP_Ineq}$$

Its logarithmic barrier function is

$$B(x; \mu) := f(x) - \mu \sum_{i=1}^{m} \log c_i(x),$$

where $\mu > 0$. Note that the function $x \mapsto B(x; \mu)$ is differentiable on, strict $\mathcal{F} := \{x \in M : c(x) > 0\}$. Its Riemannian gradient is

grad
$$B(x; \mu) = \operatorname{grad} f(x) - \sum_{i=1}^{m} \frac{\mu}{c_i(x)} \operatorname{grad} c_i(x).$$

Barrier Method on Manifolds

1 Set $x_0 \in M$ to a strictly feasible point, i.e., $c(x_0) > 0$, and set $\mu_0 > 0$ and $k \leftarrow 0$.

2 Check whether x_k satisfies a stopping test for (RCOP_Ineq).

3 Compute an unconstrained minimizer $x(\mu_k)$ of $B(x; \mu_k)$ with a warm starting point x_k .

4 $x_{k+1} \leftarrow x(\mu_k)$; choose $\mu_{k+1} < \mu_k$; $k \leftarrow k+1$. Return to Step 1.

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

Barrier Method

Consider the following simple problem on a sphere manifold, $\mathbb{S}^2 := \{x \in \mathbb{R}^3 : ||x||_2 = 1\},$

$$\min_{x \in \mathbb{S}^2} \quad a^T x \quad \text{s.t.} \quad x \ge 0, \tag{SP}$$

where $a = [-1, 2, 1]^T$. Its solution is $x^* = [1, 0, 0]^T$.

Now, check the KKT conditions at *x* (asterisks omitted below): grad $f(x) = (I_n - xx^T)a = [0, 2, 1]^T$. The constraint $x \ge 0$ implies $c_i(x) = e_i^T x$ for i = 1, 2, 3;

grad
$$c_1(x) = (I_n - xx^T)e_1 = [0, 0, 0]^T;$$

grad $c_2(x) = (I_n - xx^T)e_2 = [0, 1, 0]^T;$
grad $c_3(x) = (I_n - xx^T)e_3 = [0, 0, 1]^T.$

Clearly, the multipliers $z^* = [0, 2, 1]^T$, and LICQ and strict complementarity hold.

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

Zhijian Lai, Akiko Yoshise



Figure: Contour plots of logarithmic barrier function $B(x; \mu)$ of (SP) for (a) $\mu = 10$ (b) $\mu = 1$ (c) $\mu = 0.5$ (d) $\mu = 0.1$. The blue area indicates low values.

Finally, we find that $\lim_{k\to\infty} x_k = x^*$ and that

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \mu_k / c_1 (x_k) = 0 = z_{(1)}^*, \lim_{k \to \infty} \mu_k / c_2 (x_k) = 2 = z_{(2)}^*, \lim_{k \to \infty} \mu_k / c_3 (x_k) = 1 = z_{(3)}^*,$$

which are the notable features of the classical barrier method; see [Forsgren et al., 2002, Theorem 3.10 & 3.12].



Figure: Iterates x_k of barrier method for (SP).

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

Furthermore, if we denote the minimizer of $B(x; \mu)$ by either x_{μ} or $x(\mu)$, it must be that grad $B(x_{\mu}; \mu) = 0$.



Figure: Existence of a central path for (SP).

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

Dominant cost — Newton equation

Dominant cost is to solve

$$\nabla F(w)\Delta w = -F(w) + \mu \hat{e}, \qquad (30)$$

where

$$F(w) = \begin{pmatrix} F_x \triangleq \operatorname{grad}_x \mathcal{L}(x, y, z) \\ F_y \triangleq h(x) \\ F_z \triangleq g(x) + s \\ F_s \triangleq ZSe \end{pmatrix}, \quad \hat{e} \triangleq \begin{pmatrix} 0_x \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ e \end{pmatrix}.$$
(31)

Thus, we need to solve the following linear system on $T_x M \times \mathbb{R}^l \times \mathbb{R}^m \times \mathbb{R}^m$:

$$\begin{pmatrix} \operatorname{Hess}_{x} \mathcal{L}(w) \Delta x + H_{x} \Delta y + G_{x} \Delta z \\ H_{x}^{*} \Delta x \\ G_{x}^{*} \Delta x + \Delta s \\ Z \Delta s + S \Delta z \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} -F_{x} \\ -F_{y} \\ -F_{z} \\ -F_{s} + \mu e \end{pmatrix}.$$
(32)

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

Condensed form of Newton equation

It suffices to focus on condensed form on $T_x M \times \mathbb{R}^l$:

$$\mathcal{T}(\Delta x, \Delta y) := \begin{pmatrix} \mathcal{A}_w \Delta x + H_x \Delta y \\ H_x^* \Delta x \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} c \\ q \end{pmatrix},$$
(33)

where

$$\mathcal{A}_{w} := \operatorname{Hess}_{x} \mathcal{L}(w) + G_{x} S^{-1} Z G_{x}^{*},$$

$$c := -F_{x} - G_{x} S^{-1} \left(Z F_{z} + \mu e - F_{s} \right), \quad q := -F_{y}.$$
(34)

- \mathcal{A}_w is self-adjoint (but may indefinite) on $T_x M$.
- \mathcal{T} is self-adjoint (but may indefinite) on $T_x M \times \mathbb{R}^l$. This is a saddle point problems on Hilbert space.
- The Riemannian situation leaves us with no explicit matrix form available.
- A simple approach is to first find the representing matrix $\hat{\mathcal{T}}$ under some basis. (Expensive !)

Riemannian Interior Point Methods (RIPM)

Krylov subspace methods on Tangent space

An ideal approach is to use iterative methods, such as **Krylov subspace methods** (e.g., Conjugate Gradients method), on $T_x M \times \mathbb{R}^l$ directly.

For simplicity, we consider the case of only inequality constraints, where Δy vanishes, thus we only needs to

solve
$$\mathcal{A}_w \Delta x = c$$
 for $\Delta x \in T_x M$. (35)

- It only needs to call an abstract linear operator $v \mapsto A_w v$. (matrix-vector product)
- All the iterates v_k are in $T_x M$.
- Since operator A_w is self-adjoint but indefinite, we use Conjugate Residual (CR) method to solve it.

The discussion of above can be naturally extended to the general case.